

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

NITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCI inited States Patent and Trademark Office doress COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450

1105 THE ALAMEDA	INFIRMATION NO	
IIOWARD COHEN 1105 THE ALAMEDA	8957	
1105 THE ALAMEDA	EXAMINER JONES, JUDSON	
BERKELEY, CA 94707 ARTUNIT P	PAPER NUMBER	

DATE MAILED: 12/01/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		NI /		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary	10/056,233	GUMMIN ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Judson H Jones	2834		
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after Six (6) MCXITHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for regit is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to regly within the set or extended period for regit is approached to the communication of t	1. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror tue, cause the application to become ABANDON	mely filed ys will be considered timely, the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29	October 2003.			
2a)☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)☒ Th	is action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) 13-34 is/are pending in the applicat	ion.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdo	rawn from consideration.			
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>19-34</u> is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-18</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	/or election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 13 May 2002 is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to	by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume		a)-(d) or (f).		
Certified copies of the priority docume     Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure	ints have been received in Applica riority documents have been receive eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	red in this National Stage		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome since a specific reference was included in the 37 CFR 1.78.	stic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 first sentence of the specification of	(e) (to a provisional application) or in an Application Data Sheet.		
a) The translation of the foreign language p  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome				
reference was included in the first sentence of				
Attachment(s)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/056,233

Art Unit: 2834

## DETAILED ACTION

## Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrinc grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 13-18 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 2 and 5 of U.S. Patent No. 6,326,707 B1.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claim 13 adds the limitation to contract ALL OF said shape memory components to the language of '707 claim 1. The language in claim 1 would read on either all of the components or some of the components. Claim 14 adds the limitation of at least three sub-modules instead of a plurality of sub-modules as recited in claim 1 of the '707 patent. Three is a plurality. Claim 15 is like claim 1 of the '707 patent except claim 15 refers to a plurality of shape memory wires instead of a plurality of shape memory components. Claim 16 includes a rewording of the limitations of claim 2 of the '707 patent and adds the limitation that the movement is solely in a first direction. Claim 17 includes the return means from claim 5 of the '707 patent while adding the limitation of a first direction. Claim 18 includes the limitations of the '707 patent claim 2

Application/Control Number: 10/056,233

Art Unit: 2834

while adding the limitation of movement in a first direction and the limitation of contracting all

of the shape memory components.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 19-34 are allowed

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The prior art of record does not disclose or teach the linear actuator having a plurality of sub-

modules of shape memory elements including plate-like bars where the bars extend in a first

direction in a parallel, stacked relationship in combination with the other features of claim 19.

The '707 patent recites drive bars in claim 30 but does not recite a parallel, stacked relationship.

The prior art of record does not disclose or teach a linear actuator having a plurality of sub-

modules of shape memory wires, a plurality of elongated bars and a plurality of plate members stacked on top of each other where the plates move relative to each other in combination with the

other features of claims 30 and 34.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Judson H Jones whose telephone number is 703-308-0115. The

examiner can normally be reached on 8-4:30 M-F. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone

are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Burt Mullins can be reached on 703-305-7063. The

fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-

305-3431. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

0 1

JHJ 11/24/2003

PRIMARY EXAMINER